

## A Uniform Constant of Strong Uniqueness on an Interval

CHARLES B. DUNHAM\*

*Computer Science Department, University of Western Ontario,  
London, Ontario, N6A 5B9 Canada*

*Communicated by Richard S. Varga*

Received July 10, 1978

Consider approximation in  $C[\alpha, \beta]$  with respect to the Chebyshev (sup) norm. Real continuous functions  $f$  are to be approximated by elements of a fixed  $n$ -dimensional Haar subspace  $H$ . It is well known [2] that there is  $\gamma = \gamma(f) > 0$ , called a constant of strong uniqueness (SU constant), such that for  $p^*$  best to  $f$ ,

$$\|f - p\| - \|f - p^*\| \geq \gamma \|p - p^*\|,$$

for all  $p \in H$ . In this note we consider whether certain subsets of  $C[\alpha, \beta]$  have a uniform  $\gamma > 0$ .

Such a problem was considered by Cline [3] for a general compact  $X$  (rather than for an interval  $[\alpha, \beta]$ ), by Bartelt [1] for finite domains, and by Henry and Schmidt [5] for intervals. The subsets of Henry and Schmidt are compact and hence include no neighborhoods: our subsets will not have that defect.

A uniform constant of strong uniqueness guarantees a uniform Lipschitz constant, as shown in the text of Cheney [2, p. 82].

In approximation by constants it is seen that we can set  $\gamma = 1$ . More generally, in approximation by Haar subspace of dimension 1, it is seen by arguments as in [2, p. 81] that  $\gamma$  can be set equal to

$$\inf\{\phi(x): x \in [\alpha, \beta]\} / \sup\{\phi(x): x \in [\alpha, \beta]\}$$

for  $\phi$ , a positive basis function. It has been proven by Cline [3, p. 164] that a uniform Lipschitz constant cannot exist on infinite compact  $X$  when  $n > 1$ : by the cited result in Cheney no uniform SU constant can exist in this case, either. As Cline's construction is elaborate, it may be more instructive to consider a simple example.

\* Written on a sabbatical leave at the University of British Columbia.

EXAMPLE. Let  $[\lambda, \beta] = [-1, 1]$ . Approximate by first-degree polynomials. Let

$$f_k(0) = 1 \quad f_k(1/k) = -1 \quad f_k(x) = 0 \text{ for } |x| > 2/k.$$

Extend  $f_k$  to  $[0, 1]$  by straight lines between 0 and  $1/k$  and between  $1/k$  and  $2/k$ . Extend  $f_k$  to  $[-1, 1]$  by making  $f_k$  even. As  $f_k = 0$  alternates twice on  $[-1, 1]$ , 0 is the unique best approximation to  $f_k$  with error norm 1. The approximation  $\eta x$  has an error norm of  $1 - \eta/k$  for  $\eta$  small and differs from 0 by  $\eta$  in norm. Hence a strong uniqueness constant  $\gamma$  for  $f_k$  can be no larger than  $1/k$ .

It is easily seen that this example can be extended to approximation by polynomials of degree  $n$  by constructing even  $f_k$  with  $n - 3$  alternations in a  $1/k$  neighborhood of zero and zero outside a  $2/k$ -neighbourhood of zero.

A key property appears to be separation in alternants.

DEFINITION. The separation of a strictly increasing  $n - 1$  point set  $\{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$  is

$$\min\{x_{i+1} - x_i : i = 0, \dots, n - 1\}.$$

THEOREM 1. Let  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $f_k$  be a sequence such that the optimal error curve for  $f_k$  has only one alternant  $X^k$  and the separation of  $X^k$  tends to zero. Then  $\gamma(f_k) \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p_k$  be best to  $f_k$ .  $\{X^k\}$  has an accumulation point  $X^0$  of no more than  $n$  distinct points. By taking a subsequence, if necessary, we can assume  $\{X^k\} \rightarrow X^0$ . By taking a subsequence, if necessary, we can assume that two adjacent points of the alternants  $X^k$  coalesce to a point  $z \in X^0$ , say  $\{x_0^k\} \rightarrow z, \{x_1^k\} \rightarrow z$ . We now consider the number of distinct points in  $X^0$ . If it is  $\leq n - 1$ , select  $p_0 \equiv 0$  vanishing on  $X_0$ . If it is exactly  $n$ , select  $p_0 \equiv 0$  vanishing on all but one point  $z$ . Let the subscript of that point be  $j$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Let  $W = \{x : p_0(x) = 0, x \in X^0\}$ . There is a neighborhood  $N$  of  $W$  such that  $|p_0(x)| < \epsilon$  for  $x \in N$ . Select  $k$  such that  $\{x_0^k, x_1^k\} \subset N$ . In the event  $X^0 \sim W$  is nonempty, there is a neighborhood  $M$  of  $x_j^k$  such that  $f_k - p_k$  is of constant sign on  $M$ . As the only extrema of  $f_k - p_k$  are in  $X^k$ , there is a constant  $L$  such that for  $|\eta| < L$ , the norm of  $f_k - p_k - \eta p_0$  on  $[\lambda, \beta]$  must be attained on  $N \cup M$ . For  $|\eta| < L$  and  $x \in N$ ,

$$f_k(x) - p_k(x) - \eta p_0(x) < |f_k - p_k| + |\eta| \cdot \epsilon \cdot |p_0|.$$

In the event  $X^0 \sim W$  is nonempty, choosing  $\eta$  small and of the correct sign gives

$$f_k(x) - p_k(x) - \eta p_0(x) < |f_k - p_k| \quad x \in M$$

Hence for  $\eta$  small and of the correct sign,

$$\|f_k - p_k - \eta p_0\| \leq \|f_k - p_k\| - |\eta| \epsilon \|p_0\|.$$

But  $\|p_k - (p_k - \eta p_0)\| = |\eta| \|p_0\|$ , hence  $f_k$  has a strong uniqueness constant of no more than  $\epsilon$ .

It follows that if we want a uniform strong uniqueness constant  $\gamma$ , we must take only a subset of  $C[\alpha, \beta]$ , with separation in alternants desirable.

DEFINITION. For  $\delta > 0$ , let  $F_\delta$  be the subset of  $C[\alpha, \beta]$  with at least one alternant of the optimal error curve having separation  $\geq \delta$ .

THEOREM 2.  $F_\delta$  has a uniform strong uniqueness constant  $\gamma$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma$  be the infimum of  $e(q, X) = \max\{(-1)^i q(x_i) : i = 0, \dots, n\}$  over all approximants  $q$  of norm 1 and all strictly increasing  $n + 1$  point sets  $X = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$  with separation  $\geq \delta$ . This infimum is taken over a compact set and  $e$  depends continuously on  $q, X$ . By arguments in the text of Cheney,  $\gamma$  must be  $> 0$  and is a uniform strong uniqueness constant.

We consider stability of separation under perturbation.

THEOREM 3. Let  $\{f_k\} \rightarrow f$  and  $p_k$  be best to  $f_k$ . Let  $X^k$  be an alternant of  $f_k - p_k$ . Any accumulation point of  $X^k$  is an alternant of  $f - p^*$ .

*Proof.* By continuity of the best approximation operator,  $\{p_k\} \rightarrow p^*$ , hence  $\{f_k - p_k\} \rightarrow f - p^*$ . Let  $x$  be in an accumulation point  $W$  for  $\{X^k\}$ . Then  $x$  must be an extremum of  $f - p^*$ . If  $f - p^*$  did not alternate  $n$  times on  $W$ , then  $f_k - p_k$  would not alternate  $n$  times on  $X^k$  for  $k$  large.

COROLLARY. Let  $f$  have a unique alternant  $X$  of its optimum error  $f - p^*$ . Let  $\{f_k\} \rightarrow f$  and  $p_k$  be best to  $f_k$ . Let  $X^k$  be an alternant of  $f_k - p_k$ , then  $\{X^k\} \rightarrow X$ .

Conversely, if  $f$  does not have a unique alternant, we can select an alternant  $X$  and construct  $g$  arbitrarily close to  $f$  with  $p^*$  best and  $X$  the only extrema of  $g - p^*$ . One such construction in the case  $f - p^* \equiv 0$  is to choose  $g_k$  such that

$$g_k(x) - p^*(x) = [f(x) - p^*(x)][1 - \text{dist}(x, X)/k].$$

It follows that the (maximum) separation of alternants for nearby functions  $g$  can be much less than for  $f$ .

DEFINITION.  $G_\delta = \{f: \text{all alternants have separation } > \delta\}$ .  
By the arguments of Theorems 2 and 3,

**THEOREM 4.**  $G_\delta$  has a uniform strong uniqueness constant and is an open subset of  $C[\alpha, \beta]$ .

A straightforward extension of the problem is the case of mandatory endpoint zeros. Consider approximation by an  $n$ -dimensional Haar subspace with null set  $Z$  [7, p. 291] consisting of one or both endpoints (that is, the subspace is a Haar subspace on  $[\alpha, \beta] \sim Z$  and vanishes identically on  $Z$ ), with  $f$  also vanishing on  $Z$ . An alternating theory holds in this case also [7, p. 292]. The arguments of Cheney [2, pp. 89–81] for choosing a  $\gamma > 0$  extend to this case with no additional arguments needed. We show later that there can be no uniform SU constant over  $f$  continuous on  $[\alpha, \beta]$  and vanishing on  $Z$ .

In the definition of separation we add  $x_0 = \alpha$  to the braces if  $\alpha \in Z$  and  $\beta = x_n$  to the braces if  $\beta \in Z$ . Theorems 1–4 need no changes. In the case  $n = 1$  we choose alternants  $X^k$  such that both points tend to a point of  $Z$  and use the arguments of Theorem 1 to get SU constant  $\rightarrow 0$ .

A further generalization is to replace  $[\alpha, \beta]$  by a compact metric space  $X$ , as in the text of Cheney, and to possibly replace Haar subspaces by Haar subspaces with null space  $Z$  [7, p. 291] with  $f$  required to vanish on  $Z$ . We replace alternants by  $n - 1$  point critical point sets (Rice [11, p. 233]) =  $n - 1$  point IED sets (Dunham [8, p. 132]) =  $n - 1$  point minimal  $H$ -sets (Geiger [9]) =  $n - 1$  point primitive extremal signatures (Gutknecht [10]). The theorems extend easily, with the definition of separation for an  $n - 1$  point set  $\{x_i\}$  being

$$\min\{\rho(x_i, x_j), i \neq j, \rho(x_i, z), z \in Z\}.$$

A further generalization is to approximate two continuous functions  $f^-$  and  $f^+$  simultaneously,  $f^- \leq f^+$ , as in Dunham [6]. In the case of no straddle point [6, p. 473, (3)], best approximation on  $[\alpha, \beta]$  is characterized by a special kind of alternation. The theory of the text of Cheney [2, Chap. 3] can handle simultaneous approximation on a compact metric space  $X$  by creating two copies of  $X$ . Cheney's argument [2, pp. 80–81] gives strong uniqueness if no straddle points occurs. Theorems 1–3 extend, Theorem 4 holds with  $\{f^-, f^+\}$  in the definition of  $G_\delta$  required to have no straddle points. To show openness, suppose there existed  $\{f_k^-\} \rightarrow f^-$ ,  $\{f_k^+\} \rightarrow f^+$ ,  $f_k^- \leq f_k^+$ , with  $(f_k^-, f_k^+)$  having a straddle point at  $x_k$ . Assume that  $\{x_k\} \rightarrow x_0$ , then  $(f^-, f^+)$  has a straddle point at  $x_0$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank the referee for his constructive criticism.

## REFERENCES

1. M. BARTELT, On Lipschitz conditions, strong unicity, and a theorem of A. K. Cline, *J. Approximation Theory* **14** (1975), 245–250.
2. E. W. CHENEY, “Introduction to Approximation Theory,” McGraw–Hill, New York, 1966.
3. A. K. CLINE, Lipschitz conditions on uniform approximation operators, *J. Approximation Theory* **8** (1973), 160–172.
4. M. S. HENRY AND J. A. ROULIER, Uniform Lipschitz constants on small intervals, *J. Approximation Theory* **21** (1977), 224–235.
5. M. S. HENRY AND D. SCHMIDT, Continuity theorems for the product approximation operator, in “Theory of Approximation with Applications,” (A. G. Law and B. N. Sahney. Eds.), Academic Press, New York, 1976.
6. C. DUNHAM, Simultaneous Chebyshev approximation of functions on an interval, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18** (1967), 472–477.
7. C. DUNHAM, Families satisfying the Haar condition, *J. Approximation Theory* **12** (1974), 291–298.
8. C. DUNHAM, Nearby linear Chebyshev approximation, *Æquations Math.* **16** (1977), 129–135.
9. C. GEIGER, Minimale  $H$ -Mengen, starke Eindeutigkeit, und stetigkeit der Tschebyscheff-operators. *Z. Angew. Math. Mech.* **54** (1974), 627–634.
10. M. GUTKNECHT, Non-strong uniqueness in real and complex approximation, *J. Approximation Theory* **23** (1978), 204–213.
11. J. R. RICE. “The Approximation of Functions,” Vol. 2, Addison–Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969.